

Challenges and open questions in hematology Estimand aspects in the Gallium trial

Tina Nielsen Roche



Gallium



- Phase III, 2-arm, randomized, open-label, parallel-group trial in 1st line follicular lymphoma (FL)
- Treatment regimen:
 - Obinutuzumab / Rituximab + backbone CT for 6 months.
 - If the patient responds then Obinutuzumab / Rituximab maintenance for another 2 years.
 - Otherwise follow-up as in maintenance without further treatment
- Primary endpoint:
 - Progression-free survival (PFS)
- Protocol definition: "time from randomization to earlier of progression or death"
- Assessment schedule: regular tumour assessments at months 3, 6, 10, 14, 18, 24, 30, 36, ... Death dates also collected
- Trial read out prior to addendum:
 - Clinical cutoff 31st January 2016.
 - Marcus et al (2017), N Engl J Med; 377:1331-1344, https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/28976863/

Protocol defined objective pre-addendum

Roche

The primary objective for this study is as follows:

To evaluate the *efficacy* of obinutuzumab (GA101, RO5072759) plus chemotherapy followed by obinutuzumab maintenance therapy compared with rituximab plus chemotherapy followed by rituximab maintenance therapy in patients with previously untreated advanced follicular lymphoma, *as measured by investigator-assessed progression-free survival (PFS)*

How key trial results were reported



RESULTS

A total of 1202 patients with follicular lymphoma underwent randomization (601 patients in each group). After a median follow-up of 34.5 months (range, 0 to 54.5), a planned interim analysis showed that obinutuzumab-based chemotherapy resulted in *a significantly lower risk of progression, relapse, or death than rituximab-based chemotherapy* (estimated 3-year rate of progression-free survival, 80.0% vs. 73.3%; hazard ratio for progression, relapse, or death, 0.66; 95% confidence interval [CI], 0.51 to 0.85; P = 0.001)

Ambiguity remains, in the objective and reporting



- Objective: How do we measure the effect?
- Definition of PFS:
 - Starting new anti-lymphoma therapy (NALT) prior to progression?
 - Withdrawal from trial treatment prior to progression?
 - «Relapse»: in paper, but not objective?

Estimand – target of estimation









Other intercurrent events (not already addressed by treatment, population, and variable) and how they are

provides a basis for treatment comparison

Taken from Degtyarev et al (2020).

Estimand components post-addendum



Treatments:

Experimental: 6 or 8 21-28 day cycles obinutuzumab D1 + C1D8, C1D15: 1000mg flat dose + site-specific choice of CT (CVP, Benda, CHOP) in induction followed in responding patients by 1000mg every 2 months until PD or up to 2y

Control: 6 or 8 21-28 day cycles rituximab 375mg/m2 D1 + site-specific choice of CT (CVP, Benda, CHOP) in induction followed in responding patients by 375mg/m2 every 2 months until PD or up to 2y

Population: Patients with previously untreated follicular lymphoma (FL)

Primary endpoint: Progression-free survival (time from randomization to progression, relapse, or death)

Intercurrent events:

NALT prior to progression

Withdrawal from trial treatment prior to progression

Summary measure: Hazard ratio

Handling of intercurrent events





TA – last valid tumor assessment \rightarrow censored

Detailed trial objective post-addendum



The trial will compare 6 or 8 21-28 day cycles of obinutuzumab D1 + C1D8, C1D15: 1000mg + sitespecific choice of CT (CVP, Benda, CHOP) in induction followed in responding patients by 1000mg every 2 months until PD or up to 2y with 6 or 8 21-28 day cycles of rituximab 375mg/m2 D1 + sitespecific choice of CT (CVP, Benda, CHOP) in induction followed in responding patients by 375mg/m2 every 2 months until PD or up to 2y in patients with previously untreated follicular lymphoma

The primary comparison of interest is the hazard ratio of progression-free survival

The primary comparison of progression-free survival will be made regardless of whether patients withdraw from treatment or receive new-anti lymphoma therapy prior to disease progression

Benefits of estimand framework



- Clinical trial objective clarified in sufficient detail during trial planning
- Put objective in **Section 3** of protocol
- Estimand, endpoints, primary analysis, sample size, sensitivity analyses ~ follow from it
- Informs what data to collect:
 - Treatment policy \rightarrow PD, death, tumor assessments also *after* intercurrent events
 - Date last known alive for OS also *after* intercurrent events
- Analysis, interpretation, and health authority interactions easier after unblinding
 - Likely less need for discussions

References



Degtyarev, E., Rufibach, K., Shentu, Y., Yung, G., Casey, M., Liu, F., Liu, Y., Sailer, O., Siegel, J., Sun, S., Tang, R., Zhou, J. *Assessing the impact of COVID-19 on the objective and analysis of oncology clinical trials – application of the estimand framework* (2020). Statistics in Biopharmaceutial Research, to appear. <u>https://doi.org/10.1080/19466315.2020.1785543</u>



Doing now what patients need next