Benefits, challenges and development of clinical AI-products in Neuroradiology

A case study with AI Doc

BBS Seminar: AI in Clinical Research and Drug Development

Kristine Blackham & Matthias Mutke Departement of Neuroradiology, Universitätsspital Basel

25.09.2024



Will AI Replace Radiologists, or Make Them Better Than Ever?

Opinions differ on how much physicians should be embracing the technology. 21.05.2024

- Benefits: AI tools for clinical «real life»
- Challenges: Evidence-based vs black box
- Current use: Aidoc for brain bleeding detection
- Current evidence

2



The NEW ENGLAND JOURNAL of MEDICINE

REVIEW ARTICLE

AI IN MEDICINE

Jeffrey M. Drazen, M.D., Editor, Isaac S. Kohane, M.D., Ph.D., Guest Editor, and Tze-Yun Leong, Ph.D., Guest Editor

The Current and Future State of AI Interpretation of Medical Images

Pranav Rajpurkar, Ph.D., and Matthew P. Lungren, M.D., M.P.H.

N Engl J Med 2023;388:1981-90. DOI: 10.1056/NEJMra2301725

The benefits



Triage

Detection

Quantification

N Engl J Med 2023;388:1981-90. DOI: 10.1056/NEJMra2301725





The big challenge: Lacking evidence

Very few **randomized**, **controlled trials** have shown the safety and effectiveness of existing AI algorithms in radiology, and **the lack of real-world evaluation of AI systems** can pose a **substantial risk** to patients and clinicians.

N Engl J Med 2023;388:1981-90. DOI: 10.1056/NEJMra2301725



The big challenge: Lacking evidence



25.09.2024



The case: AI Doc (FDA approved)



"Always-on AI -- constantly running in the background and automatically analyzing medical imaging data, identifying urgent findings, sparing radiologists from "drowning" in vast amounts of irrelevant data"





Examples



Tumor (red shading, false-positive)



SAH right frontal lobe (missed by AI, false-negative)

25.09.2024

Basel

Evidence AI Doc

Radiology: Artificial Intelligence



Utilization of Artificial Intelligence–based Intracranial Hemorrhage Detection on Emergent Noncontrast CT Images in Clinical Workflow

Muhannad Seyam, MD • Thomas Weikert, MD • Alexander Sauter, MD • Alex Brehm, MD • Marios-Nikos Psychogios, MD • Kristine A. Blackham, MD



Desmin Milner, MD, Jesse Jones, MD, Dirk Rehder, MD, Mei Li, PhD, Yufeng Li, PhD, Kevin Junck, PhD, Srini Tridandapani, MD, PhD, MBA, Steven A. Rothenberg, MD, Andrew D. Smith, <mark>M</mark>D, PhD



Key points

USB

- Retrospective evaluation of diagnostic performance of Aidoc
 - diagnostic accuracy of 93.0%
 - high negative predictive value of 97.8%
- Metrics
 - Slight reduction in communication time
 - Expedited patient disposition time

UAB

- Prospective comparison before and after implementation of Aidoc
 - No change in doctor's performance
 - No change in reporting time

Neither study utilized worklist prioritization

Both studies were academic centers with subspecialized neuroradiologists



Conclusion

Despite ad hoc post-market research interest and activity, barriers to clinical implementation remain



Standardized criteria for comparing product quality and effectiveness



Rigorous scientific evidence supporting meaningful impact on patient care and health care outcomes



Thank you for your attention

matthias.mutke@usb.ch

kristine.blackham@usb.ch

